

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/759,337	TIDMARSH, GEORGE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond J. Henley III	1614	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Raymond J. Henley III.

(3) _____.

 (2) R. Ted Apple.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2005
Time: AM (E.D.T.)
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
9, 11-13 and 21-23


Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization given for Examiner's amendment, i.e., to provide basis for the "daily dose" language of claims 11-13 and 21-23

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/GPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)